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Abstract: Nowadays, one of the main challenges in high levels of education, is to deal with ICT as a tool in teaching methodologies. This article aims to present some results of the use of an ICT platform as a tool in spatial analysis. It is focused on the study of vernacular architecture buildings, based on the case study of wooden house villages of Tagus river banks, in Portugal. This is the unique legacy in this country, of wooden vernacular architecture in areas submitted to regular floods. The main typology of these buildings refers to stilt-houses, which have their roots in the middle XIX century. Along the time, they have been subjected to a degradation process caused by the lack of repair actions, driving to an aggravation of their status of conservation, or caused by repair actions, driving to an aggravation of their distance to the vernacular architectural matrix. Consequently, there are less than one hundred buildings remaining in five villages. The used ICT tool refers to the software DECMAvi, which is an evaluation method of buildings, designed on purposes to analyse two criteria of these territories of vernacular architecture; the status of buildings conservation and their level of proximity to the vernacular architectural matrix. This method was tested firstly in 2014 and then in 2016, and the results are allowing to obtain results coming from a comparative analysis during this period of time, in order to know if the buildings are better, worst or in same condition, and from a spatial analysis in between the considered villages and their vernacular buildings.
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Nomenclature:

\textit{ICT} Information and communication technologies
\textit{HCR} Home condition report
\textit{ITE} Technical inspection of buildings
\textit{UK} United Kingdom

1. Introduction

The wooden stilt-houses as a typology of vernacular architecture used by fishing communities have been threatened by the vulnerability to status of their buildings conservation, driving to their degradation. Several factors are among the causes that contribute to this decline, including the absence of spatial planning policies or building preservation guidelines in order to preserve them. This problem is not an exception in Portugal, where the few remaining vernacular wooden stilt-houses have been neglected, leading to decay of their architectural condition, with the disappearance or abandonment of almost all buildings. This legacy is disappearing rapidly, weakening the European cultural map.

In this sense, this research presents the analyses of results from a smart evaluation method, using an ICT platform, designed for the spatial analysis of the features of wooden stilt-house villages, taking into consideration the status of buildings conservation and their proximity to vernacular matrix.

This ICT platform, the software DECMAvi, is part
of an ongoing revolution requiring the need for specific software and expertise in order to produce multiple impacts on society, whether in general or in these particular cases of sensitive territories, exposed to continuing floods in the winter time. The study includes about 90 buildings, located along Tagus river banks, in between 50 and 90 kilometres’ distance upstream of Lisbon.

2. Existent Methods for Buildings Evaluation

Even though the scholars have been focused on the study of vernacular architecture, with examples all over the world [1-7], including in Portugal [8-11], a review of current literature has revealed the lack of methods for this typology of buildings evaluation. The existing evaluation methods for status of buildings conservation, used in several European countries, such as in the UK, Spain, France, the Netherlands or Portugal, don’t fit in vernacular architecture. They are focused on conventional buildings, thus not designed for the features of wooden stilt-houses.

2.1 The Home Condition Report Used in the UK

The Home Condition Report (HCR) from 2004 [12, 13] describes the status of conservation of the buildings, the main anomalies affecting the constructive elements and the situations of risk to the safety and health of users. It incorporates, among other things, the following parts: conditions for the realization of the inspection and classification of the building (type, occupation, year of construction, number of rooms, area, constructive description, installations and annexes); records of the anomalies, classification of the elements and the needs for immediate intervention; and conditions of the patio and the annexes. The evaluation model is based on a visual inspection and organized according to the following constructive elements: exterior, interior, installations and terrain. Exterior elements are considered, among other aspects, such as the coatings, the openings and their frames, the rainwater drainage system, the annexes or the garages (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>HCR: exterior constructive elements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exterior elements of buildings</td>
<td>Land elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimneys</td>
<td>Land (patios, gardens)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof coatings</td>
<td>Property delimiter walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater drainage system</td>
<td>Annexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior walls</td>
<td>Garages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior doors</td>
<td>Greenhouses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wooden elements</td>
<td>Leisure installations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decorative elements</td>
<td>Other common parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other exterior elements</td>
<td>Drainage installation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The application tools of this method are the Business and the Technical Standards. The first clarifies the requirements to be met by the HCR and the second, clarifies the inspection procedures and the information to be collected, giving a list of the most common problems, a template of the report, and a computer system for the issuing and registration of HCR. The evaluation criteria for the exterior, interior and installations are the need for repair or replacement, the severity of the anomaly and the urgency of the intervention (Table 2).

The land is not classified. The final result of the degradation levels is given to each element and a general appreciation of the building.

2.2 The Dutch Norm

The Dutch norm (NEN 2767) from 2006 [14] allows, through the evaluation of the conservation status of a building or group of buildings, planning the interventions, supervision of the evolution of the degradation and comparison of the results between buildings. This evaluation model is based on a visual inspection to identify the constructive characteristics and qualifies the anomalies of its elements, arranged as architecture, technical equipment, air conditioning and transport equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>HCR: evaluation criteria levels.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The element does not need repair, subject only to routine maintenance</td>
<td>The element needs repair or replacement but the serious detected anomalies are not requiring urgent intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The architectural elements group includes structure, walls coatings and finishes, roof, stairs and ramps, foundations, frames and the surrounding environment (land, buildings, fences and flooring) (Table 3).

The application tools of this method are a list of the constructive elements and another of the most common anomalies, classified and prioritized according to: the importance, considering how they affect the performance of the elements; the intensity i.e. degree of degradation process development; and the extent of the affected area (Table 4).

The status of each element conservation is classified on a six-point scale. The final result is expressed in the Conservation Coefficient, which aggregates the results obtained by the elements, taking account the replacement/repair costs. The possibility of determining partial conservation coefficients for each element, allows the definition of local intervention strategies in a group of the buildings.

### Table 3  NEN 2767: Architectural elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architectural constructive elements</th>
<th>Outer and inner frames</th>
<th>Outer and inner frames</th>
<th>Floor coatings, stairs and ramps</th>
<th>Ceiling coatings</th>
<th>Surface finishes</th>
<th>Land, buildings, fences and flooring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structures and foundations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior walls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior walls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floors, stairs and ramps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof (structure)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof (coating)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4  NEN 2767: Scores of constructive elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of failures intensity</th>
<th>&lt;2%</th>
<th>2-10%</th>
<th>10-30%</th>
<th>30-70%</th>
<th>≥70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical anomalies:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important anomalies:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less important anomalies:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 The French Assessment Grid for Habitat Degradation

The assessment grid for habitat degradation (Grille d’évaluation de la dégradation de l’habitat) from 2011 [15] aims to evaluate the housing degradation level using a grid that allows the planning of visual inspection and the systematization of the information that has to be collected. The evaluation model includes a general description of the house (including the address, year of construction, habitable surface area, number of rooms and floors) and the list of elements to make an evaluation where the performance of each in the various criteria and the respective scores are recorded.

The application tool is the methodological guide that describes the grid content and the classification system. The evaluation criteria of each element are: the state, a value for the most degraded part and the type of intervention needed; the amplitude (in percentage) of the anomaly; the proportion (in terms of quantity of elements) and the number of rooms/floors affected. Each element receives a note of degradation that results from the product between the scores obtained in the various criteria. The final result is a Degradation Indicator (including slight, medium or deep rehabilitation) that represents the distance between the higher level of degradation.

2.4 The Technical Inspection of Buildings Used in Spain

The technical inspection of buildings (ITE-inspeccióntécnica de edificios) from 2011, used in Spain is applied to buildings more than 50 years old.

This evaluation model is based on the visual inspection of the anomalies and definition of the repair measures. The exteriors of the building walls and roofs are evaluated. The walls and the roof are evaluated regarding the building exterior.

The application tools are the following: The instructions, with information about the report’s
completion; and the inspection report for information register (building characterization, anomalies and their causes, results and commitment for the execution of works).

The computer application “InformeITE” provides a predefined template of the report and a database of the anomalies (Table 5). These are evaluated according to the criterion of prejudice to the safety and structural/non-structural stability. The final result classifies the building in one of four categories depending on the anomaly classification, from which the inspection will have a Favourable result (without disabilities or with slight disabilities) or Unfavourable (serious or very serious disabilities).

In Portugal, there are as well models to assess the status of buildings conservation, based on visual inspection, classifying the levels of anomalies of the constructive elements [16-18]. However, such as in the case of the other referred European examples. In summary, the development of a suitable method for spatial analysis of vernacular wooden stilt-house territories, which was tested during the period of time of two years, is a pioneer approach. This approach allows to make the description of the evolution of these buildings during this period, in order to know if they are better, worse or in the same condition in terms of conservation.

3. ICT in Spatial Analysis

3.1 As a Key Tool for Innovation and Decision-Making

The importance of ICT in spatial analysis is based on the “capacity for innovation” and it is part of the collective decision-making system in the European cities. Many cities are performing spatial planning roles quite different from what they were just a short time ago with the growth of high-tech, including in the domains of information and communication. Despite the information and communication technologies becoming permanent features of spatial analysis, the implications for the public realm of the use of these technologies will therefore vary due to different contexts and countries. So, there are still challenges ahead in spatial planning domain [19] such as in the field of the territorial analysis, using ICT.

The information technology revolution requiring the need for specific hardware, software and expertise) is producing multiple impacts on society in general. At the European scale, it is clear that the evolution of Europe is closely linked to the collection and analysis of data [20].

Has a decision-making tool in spatial analysis in particularly, it has enhanced methods of analysis and communication with maps and other types of spatial data. Consequently, and according to the same author, the importance of computer technologies (CT) for both quantitative and qualitative analysis has been recognised by the actors of spatial analysis and urban design i.e. city leaders (local government decision makers), planners (academics and practitioners) and association representatives, has an information tool for instance in urban economic development and in the well-being of citizens. However, this issue is novel in spatial planning and there is still a lack of research projects working about the availability and role of information (spatial and a-spatial) and the importance of spatial analysis for the well-being of society, the economy and the environment, using new technologies. CT requires digital data to display, analyse and simulate phenomena and proposes scenarios and allows collection data available to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>ITE: Buildings classification levels.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No detected anomalies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anomalies don’t affect safety but</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at risk outdoor elements as facades,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>roofs or structural elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anomalies may affect the safety but</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>there is the need for further testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to check the intervention needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anomalies affect constructive safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>but don’t require urgent repairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anomalies affect constructive safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and require urgent repairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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user. In this sense the use of ICT, according to the same author, goes beyond pure research and provides innovative solutions to today’s problems.

The mote of spatial analysis and IT is in the basis of a set of “dialogues” (still to be discussed) about the following subjects: the importance of channelling information from the local authority to the citizen and the dualism public and private funding, and local policy agendas for spatial analysis. In certain academic domains, such as in the fields of spatial planning, civil engineering or architecture, the use of ICT tools is a way of enhancing methods of analysis and communication, providing maps, tables and other types of spatial data. These data will support the decision-making process in educational research in particular [21].

New practices, new urban lifestyles and new locational issues for hi-tech production activities have thus emerged. Therefore, this research project aims to show the results on using ICT on spatial analysis, having as case studies the vernacular architecture territories of Tagus river banks. The goal would be to evaluate the status of buildings conservation and their proximity to the architectural vernacular matrix, through the application of the software DECMAvi.

3.2 The Design Process of the Software DECMAvi

The design process of the software DECMAvi results in the development of an ICT platform, creating a computing program for evaluation of the status of wooden stilt-houses conservation, as application tool of the developed evaluation method. This computing program is a database, which makes all the calculations automatically, showing as results the following two aspects: the outlook of each wooden stilt-house considering its status of building conservation, and the needs for immediate intervention.

The needs for immediate intervention in the building is the result of very serious anomalies that are putting in danger the safety of people or that have no safety guaranties of building use conditions. The selection is based on two warning indicators at the same time on the same building.

The tables and the graphics, showing the statistical analysis allow establishing connections comparing buildings and comparing villages.

The final result of the status of buildings conservation is converted into a scale of five qualitative levels [22, 23]: [0-30] for very bad condition; [30-50] for bad condition; [50-70] for average condition; [70-90] for good condition; and [90-100] for very good condition. These intervals were defined based on an experimental application of the diagnosis record to a sample of buildings, allowing to test, to improve and to validate them.

The final result of the proximity of the building to the vernacular architectural matrix is converted into a scale of three qualitative levels; [0-50] for low proximity; [50-90] for proximity; and [90-100] for the building in the matrix. Such as in the case of the status of buildings conservation, these intervals were as well defined based on an experimental application of the diagnosis record to a sample of buildings, allowing their validation.

The initial page of the software allows to open or to create the following data: Diagnosis projects giving them a name; localization coordinates with an interactive Google map, description plan of the village; and list of buildings of each project, giving them the Diagnosis Record and the Identification Photograph.

The diagnosis record of each building, was created at the visual inspection record, allowing the introduction of the following data [24]: Identification; Photographs, until four units with a maximum of 60 Kb; Localization map, in JPEG format, with a maximum of 100 Kb; General description; Constructive description (Structure, Roof, Exterior walls, Stairs/ramp, Gallery, Terrace, Spans, Chimney and Gutters), where if an element is signed as non-applicable, the data introduction relates to it is abrogated, in the following separators; Status of
Conservation, Record of the anomalies levels given to each element and automatic calculation of the Anomaly Index in each group. The partial and the global results are put into the Global Record (available in the option Project Analysis), including the activated indicators of the need for immediate intervention; the Anomalies Indexes and the percentage resulted from the status of conservation indicators. This record, which has a table configuration, is useful for each village for the comparative analysis in between buildings, allowing defining strategies and intervention priorities locally, at the urban settlement scale.

Finally, in the Global Analysis (available in Menus Bar of Initial Page) the results from both previous analyses in the several villages are put in a table and in graphs, allowing the comparative analysis in between them. This analysis considers the intervals used in the Statistics Analysis and the number of buildings of them.

In sum, this process starts with the introduction of the data of each building in the Diagnosis Record. This data is transferred to the Single Record where there are the warning indicators: warning of need for immediate intervention; status of buildings conservation; and proximity to the architectural vernacular matrix. Finally, the partial and the global results are gathered in the Global Record, which aims to support the Statistics Analysis, for each village and the Global Analysis in between them.

4. DECMAvi Application and Results

4.1 The Vernacular Villages of Tagus River

The vernacular architecture of wooden stilt-houses is remaining in Portugal in five small villages (Caneiras, Patacão de Cima, Lezirão, and Palhota) along to the Tagus river banks (Fig. 1).

They have their roots in the middle of the XIX century, in a migratory movement, of a fishing community coming from the central west coast, near to the Atlantic sea. During the winter time the fishing conditions on the sea were very difficult, and therefore, this community started to come to the river banks in order to get its incomes from the fishing activities [25].

In the beginning, they started to build small barracks using the materials available on that place, such as wood or canes, to store the fishing activity materials, spending the majority of their days living on the boats. In the decade of 1950 there were about 80 of these villages along to the Tagus river. However, with the decline of the fishing activities, nowadays, there are only five remaining which totals less than 90 wooden stilt-houses [26].

4.2 The First Evaluation of the Vernacular Buildings

The software DECMAvi was tested two times, in the above referred five villages of the vernacular architectural territory along to the Tagus river banks. The first evaluation was done in 2014 and the second in 2016.

From the ICT platform application in Caneiras in 2014 [27], it is possible to conclude that nine buildings (28% of the 32 houses), were requiring deep rehabilitation actions, due to their level of degradation
(“very bad condition” or “bad condition” level in terms of conservation status) corresponding to seven wooden stilt-houses.

This model shows in the village of Escaroupim that in 2014 27% of the buildings were requiring deep rehabilitation actions, due to their degradation. In this village, there are no buildings in the “very bad condition” level in terms of status of conservation.

Regarding the village of Palhota in 2014, five buildings (25% of a total corresponding to 20 houses), were requiring deep rehabilitation actions, due to their degradation. In this village, none of the buildings is in the “very good condition” level in terms of status of buildings conservation.

In the village of Lezirão, with six wooden stilt-houses, this model shows that in 2014, none of these buildings were needing immediate intervention, since they have no warning indicators activated. On the contrary to the other villages, previously analysed, none of this village buildings were neither in “very bad condition” level nor in “bad condition” level, in terms of status of conservation.

Finally, at the village of Patacão de Cima, with 16 wooden stilt-houses, this model shows that 13 of its buildings, all of which are empty since the decade of 1990, are requiring deep rehabilitation actions, due to their degradation, being in the “very bad condition” level or in the “bad condition” level in terms of status of conservation.

With the first application of the software DECMAvi in 2014, regarding the status of wooden stilt-houses conservation, according to a comparative analysis in between the 89 buildings of the five villages, considered as a whole, is possible to conclude that, at the time, there were no buildings in the “very good condition” level. On one hand, two thirds of the buildings were in the “average condition” level or in the “good condition” level. From these, 24% were in “bad condition” level and 10% were in “very bad condition” level in terms of status of buildings conservation. Above this percentage was Patacão de Cima, which was the most degraded urban settlement, where 88% of the buildings were distributed by the “very bad condition” and the “bad condition” levels.

At the time, 27% of the 89-wooden stilt-houses were in the “good condition” level. Above this percentage was the villages of Palhota, where 40% of the buildings were in “good condition” level, Caneiras with 37% and Lezirão with 33%. Below this percentage were the villages of Escaroupim, where there was 13% of the houses in “good condition” level and Patacão de Cima where none of the 16 buildings were classified by DECMAvi model in this level. None of the 89 analysed buildings were in the “very good level” in terms of status of conservation.

Finally, one third of the wooden stilt-houses were degraded. From these, 24% were in “bad condition” level and 10% were in “very bad condition” level in terms of status of buildings conservation. Above this percentage was Patacão de Cima, which was the most degraded urban settlement, where 88% of the buildings were distributed by the “very bad condition” and the “bad condition” levels.

**4.3 The Re-Evaluation of the Vernacular Buildings**

The second application of DECMAvi software in 2016 to the same buildings and villages, allowed to have a comparative analysis during this period of time of two years, in order to describe the evolution of these buildings.

The results show that, for example at the village of Palhota, 60% of the traditional houses kept their levels in re-evaluation in 2016, in terms of status of conservation, when compared with the results from 2014. Here, there is only one building which has
improved its condition, passing from the level “bad” in terms of status of conservation to the level “on average”.

Patacão de Cima is the village where a greater number (93.8%) of buildings have worsened their status of conservation, followed by Escaroupim with 73.4%, Lezirão with 66.6%, and Caneiras with 62%.

In the re-evaluation of 2016, there are, for the first time among all villages, some buildings in the “very good” level in terms of their status of conservation. One of them is in Caneiras and two of them are in Escaroupim (Fig. 2).

In terms of the proximity of the buildings to the vernacular architectural matrix, the second application of the software DECMAvi in 2016 reveals that Palhota is the worst village in terms of this indicator. About 44% of its buildings are the farthest away from the architectural matrix, followed by Caneiras with 23%, Lezirão with 17%, Escaroupim with 14% and Patacão de Cima with no buildings in this condition.

5. Conclusions

5.1 Final Considerations

The use of software tools such as DECMAvi as a teaching methodology in spatial analysis, has several advantages, such as the listed below:

On one hand, this ICT platform results are an exhaustive survey of these wooden stilt-houses and will be a guideline for spatial planning policies, strategies and instruments in order to protect and enhance this vernacular architectural legacy. On the other hand, this software can be used in other similar wooden buildings, in order to check their status of conservation, and therefore to define the best rehabilitation actions. These rehabilitation actions can be done whether regarding the contents of national and regional spatial policies (considering an overview of all buildings and villages), or local strategies (defined for each village and its buildings) due to the needs and expectations of local communities.

Fig. 2 Village of Escaroupim (Portugal) 2016: stilt-house in the best status of conservation and the closest to the architectural matrix.

5.2 Recommendations

As future research, there is the recommendation of to develop skills in order to improve this method as an intuitive, friendly and mobile ICT platform, able to be used easily, and to insert data in situ concerning the goals of each user. This ICT platform can be transferred to the business domain, in order to be used by public or private sectors, in order to assess the features of vernacular buildings, supporting the definition of strategies and guidelines for their repair.

Finally, the application of the software DECMAvi, resulted in a deep knowledge of the features of vernacular stilt-house territories of Tagus river banks. Consequently, this knowledge is a way of bringing this local legacy, unique in Portugal, to the global cultural map of Europe.
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